

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,083	09/17/2003	H. Dean Goodson	20512.069	3732
42922	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
WHITAKER, CHALK, SWINDLE & SAWYER, LLP 3500 CITY CENTER TOWER II			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
	301 COMMERCE STREET			PAPER NUMBER
FORT WORTH, TX 76102-4186			1732	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
.	10/664,083	GOODSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allan Kuhns	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	e action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or of the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/664,083 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1.Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25, drawn to a thread protector alone, or in combination with an oil field tubular, classified in class 138, subclass 96T.
- II. Claims 26-31, drawn to a method of manufacturing a thread protector, classified in class 264, subclass 268.
- III. Claims 32-36, drawn to a method of recycling used end caps, classified in class 264, subclass 320.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product structure as claimed, or as imputed from the steps conducted, can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which a corrosion inhibiting compound is impregnated into polymeric material during polymerization rather than during molding.

The inventions of Groups I and III are distinct because, unlike Group I, the invention of Group III requires the use of used end caps.

The inventions of Groups II and III are distinct because, unlike Group II, the invention of Group III requires the use of used end caps.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,083 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

3.Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring divergent fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4.A telephone call was made to Charles Gunter, Jr. on March 15, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5.Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. The examiner is requesting that applicants' review the stated filing date for the parent application now listed at the beginning of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,083 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

5:30.

273-8300.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AJ 1732

3 - 16-06